

1a)  $Y_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable and

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = \mathbb{E}(Y_{n-1} \cdot X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= Y_{n-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$= Y_{n-1} \cdot \mathbb{E}(X_n) \quad (\text{because } X_n \perp \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= Y_{n-1} \cdot (2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}) \geq Y_{n-1}$$

so  $Y_n$  is a sub-martingale

$$b) \mathbb{E}[Y_{n-1} Y_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(Y_{n-1}^3 X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$$

$$= Y_{n-1}^3 \cdot \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = Y_{n-1}^3 \cdot \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)$$

$$c) \log Y_n^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i$$

by the strong law of large numbers (SLLN)  
this converges almost surely to

$$\mathbb{E}(\log X_1) = \frac{1}{2} \log 2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

The conditions of SLLN are satisfied because the variables  $X_i := \log x_i$  are independent, identically distributed and have finite variance and finite expectation.

$$2 \ a) \ E(X_t^2) = E(\mu t + W_t)^2$$

$$= E(\mu^2 t^2 + 2\mu t W_t + W_t^2)$$

$$= \mu^2 t^2 + 2\mu t E(W_t) + E(W_t^2)$$

$$= \mu^2 t^2 + 2\mu t \cdot 0 + t$$

$$= \mu^2 t^2 + t$$

$$E(e^{\lambda X_t} X_s^2) = E(e^{\lambda(X_t - X_s)} e^{\lambda X_s} X_s^2)$$

$$= E(e^{\lambda(\mu(t-s) + (W_t - W_s))} e^{\lambda \mu s + \lambda W_s} (\mu s + W_s)^2)$$

$$= e^{\lambda \mu t} E\left(E(e^{\lambda(W_t - W_s)} / \mathcal{F}_s) \cdot e^{\lambda W_s} (\mu s + W_s)^2\right)$$

$$= e^{\lambda \mu t} e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (t-s)} E(e^{\lambda W_s} (\mu^2 s^2 + 2\mu s W_s + W_s^2))$$

$$= e^{\lambda \mu t} e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (t-s)} \left( e^{\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 s} \mu^2 s^2 + 2\mu s E(W_s e^{\lambda W_s}) + E(W_s^2 e^{\lambda W_s}) \right)$$

(3)

we have

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda W_s}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s}$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}(W_s e^{\lambda W_s}) = \lambda s e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} \quad (= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \mathbb{E} e^{\lambda W_s})$$

$$\mathbb{E}(W_s^2 e^{\lambda W_s}) = s e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} + s^2 \lambda^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} \quad (= \frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda W_s}))$$

so

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X_t} X_s^2)$$

$$= e^{\lambda \mu t} e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(t-s)} \left( e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} (\mu^2 s^2 + 2\mu s^2 \lambda + s e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s} + s^2 \lambda^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 s}) \right)$$

$$= e^{\lambda \mu t} e^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 t} (\mu^2 s^2 + 2\mu s^2 \lambda + s + s^2 \lambda^2)$$

b)  $X_t - \mu t$  is clearly integrable, and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable  
 moreover  $X_t - \mu t = W_t$ , hence, for  $0 \leq s < t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}(W_s + (W_t - W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= W_s + \mathbb{E}(W_t - W_s | \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= W_s + \mathbb{E}(W_t - W_s) \quad (W_t - W_s \perp \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= W_s = X_s - \mu s \end{aligned}$$

So  $X_t - \mu t$  is a martingale

Next,  $(X_t - \mu t)^2 - t = W_t^2 - t$  is clearly integrable  
 and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[W_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}((W_s + W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) - t \\ &= \mathbb{E}(W_s^2 + 2(W_t - W_s)W_s + (W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) - t \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} W_s^2 + 2W_s \mathbb{E}(W_t - W_s | \mathcal{F}_s) + \mathbb{E}((W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &\quad - t \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} W_s^2 + 0 + (t-s) - t \\ &= W_s^2 - s \end{aligned}$$

in (1) we used take-out-what-is-known, and in (2)  
 $W_t - W_s \perp \mathcal{F}_s$ .

so we find

$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{M}_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \tilde{M}_s$  and we conclude that  $\tilde{M}_t$  is a martingale.

of  $e^{-2\mu X_t}$  is clearly integrable and  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu X_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s) \\ &= e^{-2\mu^2 t} \mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu(W_t - W_s)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s) e^{-2\mu W_s} \\ &= e^{-2\mu^2 t} e^{2\mu W_s} e^{\frac{1}{2} 4\mu^2 (t-s)} \\ &= e^{-2\mu W_s - 2\mu^2 s} = e^{-2\mu(W_s + \mu s)} \\ &= e^{-2\mu X_s} \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu X_t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = e^{-2\mu X_s}$

so  $M_t = e^{-2\mu X_t}$  defines a martingale

d)

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu X_\tau})$$

$$= P(X_\tau = a) e^{-2\mu a} + P(X_\tau = -a) e^{2\mu a}$$

by the martingale property and the fact that  $\mathbb{E}(\tau < \infty)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu X_\tau}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{-2\mu X_0}) = 1$$

so we find

$$P(X_\tau = a) e^{-2\mu a} + P(X_\tau = -a) e^{2\mu a} = 1$$

$$P(X_\tau = a) + P(X_\tau = -a) = 1$$

from which we solve

$$P(X_\tau = a) = \frac{e^{2\mu a} - 1}{e^{2\mu a} - e^{-2\mu a}}$$

$$e) \quad E(X_T - \mu T) = 0$$

7

$$E(X_T) = a P(X_T = a) + (-a) P(X_T = -a)$$

$$\text{So } E(T) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left( a P(X_T = a) + (-a) P(X_T = -a) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{a(e^{2\mu a} - 1)}{(e^{2\mu a} - e^{-2\mu a})} - \frac{a(1 - e^{-2\mu a})}{e^{2\mu a} - e^{-2\mu a}} \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \left\{ \frac{a(e^{2\mu a} + e^{-2\mu a} - 2)}{e^{2\mu a} - e^{-2\mu a}} \right\}$$

$$3) \quad f(W_t, t) - f(W_0, 0) = \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(W_s, s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(W_s, s) ds$$

applied to  $f(x, t) = x^3 - 3tx$  +  $\int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(W_s, s) ds$

gives

$$W_t^3 - 3tW_t = \int_0^t (3W_s^2 - 3s) dW_s$$

$$+ \int_0^t \left( \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 6x \right] - 3W_s \right) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t (3W_s^2 - 3s) dW_s$$

4. Ito Isometry gives

$$\mathbb{E} \left( (W_t^3 - 3tW_t)^2 \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \int_0^t (3(W_s^2 - s)) dW_s \right)^2 \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t (3W_s^2 - 3s)^2 ds \right)$$

$$= \int_0^t 9 \mathbb{E}(W_s^4) - 18s \mathbb{E}W_s^2 + 9s^2 ds$$

$$= \int_0^t 9 \cdot 3s^2 - 18s^2 + 9s^2 ds$$

$$= 27 \frac{t^3}{3} - 18 \frac{t^3}{3} + 9 \frac{t^3}{3}$$

$$= 9t^3 - 6t^3 + 3t^3 = 6t^3.$$

Exercises

a)  $Y_n = Y_{n-1} \cdot X_n$

so

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = Y_{n-1} \mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$

take out what is known

$$= Y_{n-1} \mathbb{E}(X_n) \quad (\text{independence})$$

$$= Y_{n-1} \left( 10 \cdot \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

$$\geq Y_{n-1}$$

because  $\frac{30}{4} + \frac{1}{40} \geq 1$

so  $Y_n$  is clearly  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable and integrable, so

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \geq Y_{n-1} \quad \text{gives that}$$

$Y_n$  is sub martingale

b) By the law of large numbers

$$\log Y_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i \rightarrow E(\log X_1)$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} \log 10 + \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{1}{10} = (\log 10) \cdot \frac{1}{2}$$

so

$$Y_n \rightarrow \sqrt{10} = e^{\frac{1}{2} \log 10}$$

c)  $E(\log Y_n) = \log \sqrt{10} \cdot n$  so

$\log Y_n - \log \sqrt{10} \cdot n$  is a martingale

because it is of the form

$$M_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i \quad \text{with} \quad Z_i = (\log X_i - E(\log X_i))$$

being independent, identically distributed random variables with expectation  $E(Z_i) = 0$ .

As a consequence

$$E[M_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = E[M_{n-1} + Z_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$= M_{n-1} + \underbrace{E[Z_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]}_{\substack{\text{(take out} \\ \text{what is} \\ \text{known}}}}$$

$$= M_{n-1} + E(Z_n)$$

independent

$$= M_{n-1}$$

Moreover,  $M_n$  is clearly  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable  
(depends only on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ )

d) The event  $\tau > n$  is

$$\{Y_k < 100 \quad \forall k \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{hence}$$

$$\{\tau \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n \quad \text{so } \tau \text{ is stopping}$$

time.

$\tau$  is finite a.s. because by b)

we have  $Y_n^{1/n} \rightarrow \sqrt{10}$  so  $Y_n \rightarrow \infty$   
almost surely.

$$e) \quad \mathbb{E}(\log Y_{\tau} - a\tau) \geq \log 100 - a \mathbb{E}(\tau)$$

$$\text{so } \mathbb{E}(\tau) \geq \frac{\log(100)}{\log(\sqrt{10})} = 4$$

2 a) Take expectation in (1)

4

$$\mathbb{E}(x_t) = \mathbb{E}(x_0 e^{-\theta t}) + \mu(1 - e^{-\theta t}) + e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dW_s$$

because  $\int_0^t e^{\theta s} dW_s$  is a martingale, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t e^{\theta s} dW_s \right) = 0$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}(x_t) = x_0 e^{-\theta t} + \mu(1 - e^{-\theta t})$$

b)  $\text{Cov}(x_t, x_s)$  : we compute first

$$\text{Cov} \left( \int_0^t e^{\theta r} dW_r, \int_0^s e^{\theta r'} dW_{r'} \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t e^{\theta r} dW_r, \int_0^s e^{\theta r'} dW_{r'} \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \int_0^t e^{\theta r} dW_r + \int_t^s e^{\theta r} dW_r \right), \left( \int_0^s e^{\theta r'} dW_{r'} \right) \right)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \int_0^s e^{\theta r} dW_r \right)^2 \right) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{because} \\ \int_0^t e^{\theta r} dW_r \\ \text{and } \int_t^s e^{\theta r} dW_r \\ \text{are independent} \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \int_0^s e^{2\theta r} dr \quad (\text{Ito Isometry})$$

$$= \frac{(e^{2\theta s} - 1)}{2\theta}$$

so covariance equals

5

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left( e^{-\theta t} \int_0^t e^{\theta s'} dW_{s'}, e^{-\theta s} \int_0^t e^{\theta s'} dW_{s'} \right) \\ &= e^{-\theta(t+s)} \frac{(e^{2\theta s} - 1)}{2\theta} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\theta(t-s)} - e^{-\theta(t+s)}}{2\theta} \end{aligned}$$

c/ Clearly expectations are equal,

so it suffices to show that, for  $t \geq s$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left( \frac{e^{-\theta t}}{\sqrt{2\theta}} W_{2\theta t}, \frac{e^{-\theta s}}{\sqrt{2\theta}} W_{2\theta s} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\theta(t-s)} - 1}{2\theta} \end{aligned}$$

To see this notice that

$$\text{cov}(W_t, W_s) = t \wedge s, \quad \text{so}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left( \frac{e^{-\theta t}}{\sqrt{2\theta}} W_{2\theta t}, \frac{e^{-\theta s}}{\sqrt{2\theta}} W_{2\theta s} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-\theta(t+s)} (e^{2\theta s} - 1) = \frac{1}{2\theta} (e^{-\theta(t-s)} - e^{-\theta(t+s)}) \end{aligned}$$

d) If  $x_0 \stackrel{d}{=} N(\mu, \frac{1}{2\theta})$

then

$$x_0 e^{-\theta t} + \mu(1 - e^{-\theta t})$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} N(\mu, \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-2\theta t})$$

and we have

$$\frac{e^{-\theta t}}{\sqrt{2\theta}} W_{2\theta t} \stackrel{d}{=} N(0, \frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-2\theta t} (e^{2\theta t} - 1))$$

$$= N(0, \frac{1}{2\theta} (1 - e^{-2\theta t}))$$

So the sum of these two independent normals is

$$N(\mu, \frac{1}{2\theta}), \text{ as had to be shown.}$$

7

2) Use Ito's formula:

define

$$f(t, x) = e^{ax - \frac{a^2}{2}t}$$

$$\text{then } \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\frac{a^2}{2} e^{ax - \frac{a^2}{2}t}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = a e^{ax - \frac{a^2}{2}t}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = a^2 e^{ax - \frac{a^2}{2}t}$$

and we have

$$f(t, W_t) - f(0, W_0)$$

$$= \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial s}(s, W_s) ds + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(s, W_s) dW_s \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s, W_s) ds \right)$$

which gives

$$e^{aW_t - \frac{a^2}{2}t} = e^{aW_0 - \frac{a^2}{2}0} + \int_0^t (a e^{aW_s - \frac{a^2}{2}s}) dW_s.$$

because the terms in "ds" are exactly cancelling.