

Examination Valuation of Derivatives, Wi 3405TU

Friday January 27nd 2017, 9:00 - 11:00 (**2 hours examination**)

1. Consider the Black-Scholes equation:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0,$$

with a payoff function as the final condition at $t = T$.

- a. Show that the transformations $S = e^y$, $\tau = T - t$, and $u(S, t) = e^{r\tau} V(X, t)$, $y = x + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)\tau$ result in the following heat equation for unknown $u(\tau, x)$,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2},$$

- b. Write down the explicit finite difference scheme, FTCS, for the heat equation. Show that the discrete scheme is second order accurate in space and first order accurate in time.
- c. Show that the von Neumann stability condition takes the form $\sigma^2 k \leq h^2$.

2. A double barrier option has two barriers, one above and one below the current stock price. In a *one-touch double barrier put option*, the option is knocked out, resulting in a valueless option, if at least one of the barriers is breached during the life of the option.

We assume that the asset follows the process that leads to the Black-Scholes equation:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0.$$

- a. Why would an investor buy such an option, and why would a writer sell such an option?
- b. Give the appropriate computational domain, the corresponding boundary conditions and the payoff function for a one-touch double barrier put option. Transform the equations by $\tau = T - t$ into forward equations in time.
- c. We define a vector of option values for time t_i as $\mathbf{V}^i = [V_1^i, V_2^i, \dots, V_{N_x-1}^i]^T$. Write down the matrices B and F and vector \mathbf{q} of the matrix-vector representation $B\mathbf{V}^{i+1} = F\mathbf{V}^i + \mathbf{q}^{i+1}$ of BTCS, for this barrier option.
- d. Why does it not make much sense to have $B < E$ in an up-and-in call option?

Z.O.Z.

3. The following Matlab code is given:

```

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
S = 3; E = 2; T = 1; r = 0.05; sigma = 0.3;
M = 400; dt = T/M; p = 0.5;
u = exp(sigma*sqrt(dt) + (r-0.5*sigma^2)*dt);
d = exp(-sigma*sqrt(dt) + (r-0.5*sigma^2)*dt);
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

% Time T option values
W = max(E-S*d.^([M:-1:0]')).*u.^([0:M]'),0);

% Work back to option value at time zero
for i = M:-1:1
    W = exp(-r*dt)*(p*W(2:i+1) + (1-p)*W(1:i));
end

disp('Option value is'), disp(W)

```

- What is the computational technique in this Matlab code and what is computed? Adapt the Matlab code above to value a Bermudan put option with four exercise dates, at $t = 0.25$, $t = 0.5$, $t = 0.75$ and $T = 1$.

Place your name and study number on each page with solutions.