

---

**Exam Statistical Inference (WI4455)**  
**January 21, 2020, 9.00–12.00**

---

- This is an open book exam to be made by you without consulting others.
- Unless stated differently, always add an explanation to your answer.
- Scan your work and put it into *one pdf-file*. It is your responsibility that the work is well readable.
- Try to have the size not too large (20 Mb can lead to delays). The name of your file should be your netid with study number (example: `netid1234567.pdf`).
- The exam is available on January 20 at 9.00 and ends at 12.00. You have to upload your work before 12.30 in Brightspace (so definitively *not* by sending an email to me).
- If you are allowed extra time, then the exam ends at 12:30 and you have to upload your scan before 13:00. Please send the "extra time form" to `f.h.vandermeulen@tudelft.nl` if you have not already done so.
- Write your name and study number on every page.
- Write the following line at the top of page 1 of the paper on which you write your solutions and sign it:

*I promise that I have not used unauthorized help from people or other sources for completing my exam. I created the submitted answers all by myself during the exam.*

*Date:*

*Name:*

*Signature:*

- In case of questions about the exam, or technical problems at an earlier stage, send me an email at `f.h.vandermeulen@tudelft.nl`; I'll be monitoring my inbox regularly the entire duration of the exam.
- The exam is not the same for all students, depending on the digits of your student-number you'll make a particular version of the exam. Each student will make four exercises.
  - If the first digit of your student-number is in the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , you make exercise 1A, else you make exercise 1B.
  - If the second digit of your student-number is in the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , you make exercise 2A, else you make exercise 2B.
  - If the third digit of your student-number is in the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , you make exercise 3A, else you make exercise 3B.

- If the fourth digit of your student-number is in the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , you make exercise 4A, else you make exercise 4B.

Please be careful with making the correct exercises, making the wrong version will lead to subtraction of points!

---

1A Assume for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \theta \\ -1 & \text{with probability } \theta/3 \\ 1 & \text{with probability } 2\theta/3 \end{cases},$$

where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{X_i=j\}}$ , where  $j \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Assume  $S_0 > 0$ .

- [1 pt]. Find a one-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
  - [2 pt]. Compute a maximum likelihood estimator for  $\theta$ .
  - [2 pt]. Suppose we take the Bayesian point of view, where  $X_1, \dots, X_n \mid \Theta = \theta$  has the given distribution, and additionally a prior on  $\Theta$  is used. Using Bayesian notation, the prior density satisfies  $p(\theta) \propto \theta(1 - \theta)^2$ . Derive the posterior distribution of  $\theta$ .
  - [2 pt]. With the given prior, we consider the Bayes rule using loss-function  $L(\theta, a) = |\theta - a|$ . Is the Bayes rule admissible? Argue why/why not.
- 1B We say that the random variable  $X$  has the Shifted Geometric distribution with parameter  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , denoted by  $X \sim \text{SGeo}(\theta)$ , if it has probability mass function

$$f_X(x; \theta) = P_\theta(X = x) = (1 - \theta)^x \theta, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots$$

We have  $E_\theta X = (1 - \theta)/\theta$ . Suppose  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed with  $X_i \sim \text{SGeo}(\theta)$ .

- [1 pt]. Give an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ . That is, state the estimator and prove it is unbiased for  $\theta$ .
  - [3 pt]. Derive the maximum likelihood estimator for  $\tau = \theta/(1 - \theta)$ .
  - [3 pt]. Suppose  $n = 1$  and we wish to test the hypothesis  $H_0 : \theta = 1/4$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$  with  $\theta_1 > 1/4$ . In case we obtain the realisation  $x = 2$ , compute the  $p$ -value of the test with  $X_1$  as test statistic.
- 2A Let  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$  be an unknown parameter and  $X$  be a random variable such that  $E[X] = c\theta$  and  $\text{Var}(X) = \nu(\theta)$ , where  $\nu(\theta)$  is specified and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  fixed. Consider estimation of  $\theta$  by linear functions of the form  $d_a(X) = aX$  for  $a \in (0, 1)$ , with squared-error loss. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all such estimators, indexed by  $a \in (0, 1)$ .
- [3 pt]. For  $\nu(\theta) = \theta^2$ , calculate the risk function of  $d_a$ , and show that for  $c = 2$  the rule  $d_{1/2}$  is inadmissible in the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - [3 pt]. Now fix  $c = 1$ . For  $\nu(\theta) = \theta$ , prove that every member of  $\mathcal{A}$  is admissible among the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .

2B Let  $\theta \in (0, \infty)$  be an unknown parameter and  $X$  be a random variable such that  $\mathbb{E}[X \mid \Theta = \theta] = c\theta$  and  $\text{Var}(X \mid \Theta = \theta) = \nu(\theta)$ , where  $\nu(\theta)$  is specified and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  fixed. Consider estimation of  $\theta$  by linear functions of the form  $d_a(X) = aX$  for  $a \in (0, 1)$ , with squared-error loss. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all such estimators, indexed by  $a \in (0, 1)$ .

- (a) [3 pt]. For  $\nu(\theta) = \theta^2$ , calculate the risk function of  $d_a$ , and show that for  $c = 2$  the rule  $d_{1/2}$  is inadmissible in the class  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (b) [3 pt]. Suppose  $\nu(\theta) = \theta^k$  where  $k$  is a positive integer. Find a closed form expression for the Bayes estimator in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  when  $\theta$  has prior density  $f_\Theta(\theta) = e^{-\theta} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \infty)}(\theta)$ . You may use that for integers  $n$  we have  $\int_0^\infty x^n e^{-x} dx = n!$ .

3A Suppose  $X$  has density

$$f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta}{x^{\theta+1}} \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(x).$$

Assume  $\theta > 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}_\theta X = \theta/(\theta - 1)$ .

Suppose  $\theta_1 > \theta_0 > 0$  and we wish to test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ . Define the decision rules  $d_c(X)$  by

$$d_c(X) = \begin{cases} a_0 = \{\text{accept } H_0\} & \text{if } X > c \\ a_1 = \{\text{accept } H_1\} & \text{if } X \leq c \end{cases},$$

where  $c > 1$ .

- (a) [2 pt]. Incorrectly accepting  $H_0$  is considered two times as costly as incorrectly accepting  $H_1$ . Write down a loss function that reflects this.
- (b) [3 pt]. Using this loss function, derive an expression for the risk-function of the rule  $d_c(X)$ , both for  $\theta = \theta_0$  and for  $\theta = \theta_1$ . Your answer should only depend on  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  and  $c$ .
- (c) [3 pt]. Suppose  $\theta_0 = 2$  and  $\theta_1 = 4$ . Compute the minimax rule. That is determine  $c$  such that  $d_c$  is minimax.

3B Suppose  $X$  has density

$$f_X(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta}{x^{\theta+1}} \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(x).$$

Assume  $\theta > 1$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}_\theta X = \theta/(\theta - 1)$ .

Suppose  $\theta_1 > \theta_0 > 0$  and we wish to test  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta = \theta_1$ . Define the decision rules  $d_c(X)$  by

$$d_c(X) = \begin{cases} a_0 = \{\text{accept } H_0\} & \text{if } X > c \\ a_1 = \{\text{accept } H_1\} & \text{if } X \leq c \end{cases},$$

where  $c > 1$ .

- (a) [2 pt]. Incorrectly rejecting  $H_1$  is considered three times as costly as incorrectly rejecting  $H_0$ . Write down a loss function that reflects this.

- (b) [3 pt]. Using this loss function, derive an expression for the risk-function of the rule  $d_c(X)$ , both for  $\theta = \theta_0$  and for  $\theta = \theta_1$ . Your answer should only depend on  $\theta_0, \theta_1$  and  $c$ .
- (c) [3 pt]. Suppose  $\theta_0 = 2$  and  $\theta_1 = 4$ . If a priori  $H_0$  is considered twice as likely as  $H_1$ , derive the Bayes decision rule.

4A In this exercise Bayesian notation is used; in particular, all random quantities are denoted with lower-case letters. Assume  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq M$  are indices and that we observe  $y_{ij}$ . It is assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M &\stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(\alpha_i, \sigma^2) \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M &\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1/\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\tau$  is considered as hyperparameter.

- (a) [2 pt]. Define  $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M]$ . By stacking all  $y_{ij}$  into a vector  $y$ , show that we have

$$y \mid \alpha \sim N(X\alpha, \sigma^2 I_n).$$

- (b) [3 pt]. Show that the posterior of  $\alpha$  is a multivariate normal distribution. Derive its parameters.
- (c) [3 pt]. In this model we have  $y \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ . Specify  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  and explain how this result can be used to determine  $\tau$  with empirical Bayes.

4B In this exercise Bayesian notation is used; in particular, all random quantities are denoted with lower-case letters. Assume  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j \leq M$  are indices and that we observe  $y_{ij}$ . It is assumed that

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M &\stackrel{\text{ind}}{\sim} N(\alpha_i, \sigma^2) \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M &\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0, 1/\tau) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\tau$  is considered as hyperparameter.

- (a) [2 pt]. Define  $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M]$ . By stacking all  $y_{ij}$  into a vector  $y$ , show that we have

$$y \mid \alpha \sim N(X\alpha, \sigma^2 I_n).$$

- (b) [3 pt]. Show that the posterior of  $\alpha$  is a multivariate normal distribution. Derive its parameters.
- (c) [3 pt]. As we are uncertain about  $\tau$ , we add a layer to the hierarchical model, where  $\tau$  get the  $Exp(1)$ -distribution. Give the steps of a Gibbs sampler for drawing from the posterior of  $(\alpha, \tau)$ .