

## Multiple-choice questions

- 3 1. Given a problem  $A \in \text{NPC}$ . Then it holds that:
- ☒ (A.)  $A$  does not have a polynomial algorithm, unless  $P = \text{NP}$ .
  - ☒ (B.) yes-instances and no-instances of  $A$  can be verified in polynomial time.
  - ☒ (C.) when  $A$  is also in  $\text{coNP}$ , then  $\text{NP} = \text{coNP}$ .
  - ☒ (D.) for every  $\text{coNP}$  problem  $B$  it holds that  $B \leq A^c$ .
- 3 2. Consider three decision problems  $A, B$ , and  $C$ . It is known that  $A \in \text{coNP}$ ,  $B \in \text{NP}$ , and  $C \in \text{NP-hard}$  under  $\leq$ . Then it follows that:
- ☒ (A.) If  $C \leq B$  then  $B \in \text{NPC}$ .
  - ☒ (B.) If  $C \leq A$ , then  $\text{NP} = \text{coNP}$ .
  - ☒ (C.)  $A \leq B$  or  $B \leq A$ .
  - ☒ (D.)  $B \leq C$ .
- 4 3. Which of the following claims is/are true, if any?
- ☒ (A.) all problems in  $P - \{\emptyset, \Sigma^*\}$  are polynomially reducible to each other.
  - ☒ (B.) all  $\text{PSPACE}$  problems are polynomially reducible to each other.
  - ☒ (C.) all  $\text{NPC}$  problems are polynomially reducible to each other.
  - ☒ (D.) all  $\text{NP-hard}$  problems are polynomially reducible to each other.
- 2 4. Consider the 3-FALSIFICATION problem, defined as follows: Given a set  $C$  of 3-SAT clauses over a set of atoms  $U$ , does there exist a truth assignment  $\tau$  such that  $\tau$  makes all clauses in  $C$  false.
- Professor C.L. Ever has designed an algorithm to solve this problem:
- ```

function SOLVE( $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}, C = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ )
  List  $\leftarrow \emptyset$ ;  $i \leftarrow 0$ ; falsifiable  $\leftarrow \text{true}$ 
  while  $i \neq m$  and falsifiable do
     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
    Let  $c_i = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ 
    for  $j \leftarrow 1, 2, 3$  do
      if  $\text{negated}(x_j) \in \text{List}$  then
        falsifiable  $\leftarrow \text{false}$ 
      else
        List  $\leftarrow \text{List} \cup \{x_j\}$ 
    end if
  end for
end while
return falsifiable
end function
  
```
- Here, the  $\text{negated}()$  call inverts the literal, i.e.  $a$  is turned into  $\neg a$  and  $\neg a$  is turned into  $a$ .
- From this algorithm we may conclude that:
- ☒ (A.) 3-FALSIFICATION  $\in P$ .
  - ☒ (B.) The above algorithm only checks whether there are clauses in  $C$  in which both a proposition symbol and its negation occur, but it says nothing about the ability to make all clauses false.
  - ☒ (C.) The algorithm is incorrect as 3-FALSIFICATION cannot be solved in polynomial time, unless  $P = \text{NP}$ .
  - ☒ (D.) 3-FALSIFICATION is the complement of the  $\text{NP-complete}$  satisfiability problem 3-SAT. Therefore 3-FALSIFICATION is  $\text{coNP-complete}$  and the algorithm cannot be correct.

12 pt.

12 pt.

4 5. Dr. S. Timation claims:

It is known that there exists a 2-approximation algorithm for Vertex Cover (VC). Because VC  $\in$  NPC, it follows that every NP problem  $A$  is reducible to VC. Hence, for every NP-problem there is a 2-approximation algorithm.

Which of the following hold(s)?

- ☒ A. This claim is false, because VC does not have a 2-approximation algorithm.  
☒ B. This claim is false, because VC  $\notin$  NPC.  
☒ C. This claim is false, because polynomial time reductions do not preserve approximation ratio's.  
☒ D. This claim holds.

 $\rightarrow B \subseteq C$ 3 6. Consider the decision problems  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , where  $A \leq B$ , and  $B$  is a restriction of  $C$ . It follows that:

- ☒ A. If  $A$  is strong NP-complete, then  $C$  is also strong NP-complete.  
☒ B. If  $C$  has a pseudo-polynomial algorithm, then  $B$  also has a pseudo-polynomial algorithm.  
☒ C. If  $C$  is NP-complete, then  $B$  is NP-complete.  
☒ D. If  $B$  is NP-complete, then  $C$  is NP-hard.

2 7. The ALARM problem consists of an undirected graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a distance  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and a budget  $B \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The question is whether there is a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  with  $|V'| \leq B$ , such that every node in  $v \in V$  is not more than  $d$  units away from a node in  $V'$ . I.e., the question is, can we find for every node  $v \in V$ , a node  $v' \in V'$  such that there is a path from  $v$  to  $v'$  in  $G$  with a length of at most  $d$ .

Which of the following claims is/are true?

- ☒ A. instances of this problem with  $d = 1$  are solvable in polynomial time.  
☒ B. instances of this problem with  $d = |V|$  are solvable in polynomial time.  
☒ C. instances of this problem with  $d = 1$  are vertex cover instances.  
☒ D. instances of this problem with  $B \leq 2$  are solvable in polynomial time.

4 8. Check which of the following statements is true:

- ☒ A. If  $A \in P$  then  $A^c \in P \cap NP$ .  
☒ B.  $PNP = PcoNP$   
☒ C. If  $A \in NP$  then  $A^c \in PSPACE$ .  
☒ D.  $NP \cup coNP \subseteq PNP$ .

4 9.  $M$  is a polynomial  $c$ -approximation algorithm for a minimisation problem  $A$  with  $c > 1$ . Suppose we know that for an instance  $x$  of  $A$  it holds that  $a \leq opt(x) \leq b$  for some constants  $a$  and  $b$ . Then the result  $M(x)$  of applying  $M$  to  $x$  satisfies:

- ☒ A.  $a \leq M(x) \leq c$   
☒ B.  $M(x) \leq b$   
☒ C.  $a \leq A(x) \leq b \times c$   
☒ D.  $c/a \leq A(x) \leq c/b$

$$A(x) = M(x)?$$

4 10. Let  $L$  be a language and  $M$  a polynomial probabilistic Turing machine accepting  $L$ . Which of the following statements allow us to conclude that  $L \in BPP$ ?

- ☒ A.  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \in L] \geq 0.45$  and  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \notin L] \leq 0.55$   
☒ B.  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \in L] \geq 0.55$  and  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \notin L] \leq 0.45$   
☒ C.  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \in L] \geq 0.95$  and  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \notin L] \leq 0.95$   
☒ D.  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \in L] \geq 0.509$  and  $P[M \text{ accepts } x \mid x \notin L] \leq 0.499$

33 pt  
4.0

$$\text{hus } pt = 50 \times \frac{40 - 1.5 \times 7}{40} = 36.875$$